

AUTOMORPHISMS OF ELLIPTIC POISSON ALGEBRAS

Leonid Makar-Limanov¹

Department of Mathematics & Computer Science,
Bar-Ilan University, 52900 Ramat-Gan, Israel and
Department of Mathematics, Wayne State University,
Detroit, MI 48202, USA
e-mail: *lml@math.wayne.edu*

and

Umut Turusbekova, Ualbai Umirbaev

Department of Mathematics, Eurasian National University
Astana, 010008, Kazakhstan
e-mail: *umut.math@mail.ru, umirbaev@yahoo.com*

ABSTRACT. We describe the automorphism groups of elliptic Poisson algebras on polynomial algebras in three variables and give an explicit set of generators and defining relations for this group.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): Primary 17B63, 17B40; Secondary 14H37, 17A36, 16W20.

Key words: Poisson algebras, automorphisms, derivations.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known [2, 8, 9, 11] that the automorphisms of polynomial algebras and free associative algebras in two variables are tame. It is also known [17, 18] that polynomial algebras and free associative algebras in three variables in the case of characteristic zero have wild automorphisms. It was recently proved [13] that the automorphisms of free Poisson algebras in two variables over a field of characteristic 0 are tame. Note that the Nagata automorphism [14, 17] gives an example of a wild automorphism of a free Poisson algebra in three variables.

One of the main problems of affine algebraic geometry (see, for example [5]) is a description of automorphism groups of polynomial algebras in $n \geq 3$ variables. Unfortunately this problem is still open even when $n = 3$ and there isn't any plausible conjecture about the generators. There was a conjecture that the group of automorphisms of polynomial algebras in three variables is generated by all affine and exponential automorphisms (see [5]). This seems to be not true and the first author and D. Wright (oral communication) independently constructed potential counterexamples.

¹Supported by an NSA grant and by grant FAPESP, processo 06/59114-1.

In order to find a plausible conjecture it is necessary to consider many different types of automorphisms. In this paper we describe the groups of automorphisms of the polynomial algebra $K[x, y, z]$ over a field K of characteristic 0 endowed with additional structure, namely, with Poisson brackets. A study of automorphisms of Poisson structures on polynomial algebras is also interesting in view of M. Kontsevich's Conjecture about the existence of an isomorphism between automorphism groups of symplectic algebras and Weyl algebras [1].

A complete description of quadratic Poisson brackets on the polynomial algebra $K[x, y, z]$ over a field K of characteristic 0 is given in [3], [4], and [10]. Among corresponding Poisson algebras the most interesting are elliptic Poisson algebras E_α . By definition (see, for example [15]), the elliptic Poisson algebra E_α is the polynomial algebra $K[x, y, z]$ endowed with the Poisson bracket defined by

$$\{x, y\} = -\alpha xy + z^2, \quad \{y, z\} = -\alpha yz + x^2, \quad \{z, x\} = -\alpha zx + y^2,$$

where $\alpha \in K$.

We describe the automorphism groups of the elliptic Poisson algebras E_α over a field K of characteristic 0. We also show that E_α doesn't have any nonzero locally nilpotent derivations.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give some definitions and examples. In Section 3 we describe Casimir elements of elliptic algebras and prove a lemma related to the non-rationality of elliptic curves. In Section 4 we study the automorphism group of E_α .

2. DEFINITIONS AND EXAMPLES

A vector space P over a field K endowed with two bilinear operations $x \cdot y$ (a multiplication) and $\{x, y\}$ (a Poisson bracket) is called a *Poisson algebra* if P is a commutative associative algebra under $x \cdot y$, P is a Lie algebra under $\{x, y\}$, and P satisfies the following identity:

$$\{x, y \cdot z\} = \{x, y\} \cdot z + y \cdot \{x, z\}.$$

Let us call a linear map $\phi : P \longrightarrow P$ an automorphism of P as a Poisson algebra if

$$\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y), \quad \phi(\{x, y\}) = \{\phi(x), \phi(y)\}$$

for all $x, y \in P$

Similarly, a linear map $D : P \longrightarrow P$ is a derivation of P if

$$D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y), \quad D(\{x, y\}) = \{D(x), y\} + \{x, D(y)\}$$

for all $x, y \in P$. In other words, D is simultaneously a derivation of P as an associative algebra and as a Lie algebra. It follows that for every $x \in P$ the map

$$\text{ad}_x : P \longrightarrow P, \quad (y \mapsto \{x, y\}),$$

is a derivation of P . It is natural to call these derivations *inner*.

There are two important classes of Poisson algebras.

1) Symplectic algebras S_n . For each n algebra S_n is a polynomial algebra $K[x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n]$ endowed with the Poisson bracket defined by

$$\{x_i, y_j\} = \delta_{ij}, \quad \{x_i, x_j\} = 0, \quad \{y_i, y_j\} = 0,$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker symbol and $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

2) Symmetric Poisson algebras $PS(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra with a linear basis $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k, \dots$. Then $PS(\mathfrak{g})$ is the usual polynomial algebra $K[e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k, \dots]$ endowed with the Poisson bracket defined by

$$\{e_i, e_j\} = [e_i, e_j]$$

for all i, j , where $[x, y]$ is the multiplication of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $K\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a free Poisson algebra in the variables $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$. Recall that $K\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is the symmetric Poisson algebra $PS(\mathfrak{g})$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the free Lie algebra in the variables $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$. Choose a linear basis

$$e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m, \dots$$

of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $e_1 = x_1, e_2 = x_2, \dots, e_n = x_n$. The algebra $K\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is generated by these elements as a polynomial algebra. Consequently,

$$K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] \subset K\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}.$$

This inclusion was successfully used in [16] to define algebraic dependence of two elements of the polynomial algebra $K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$. Namely, two elements f and g of $K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ are algebraically dependent if and only if $\{f, g\} = 0$. It is also proved [12] that if elements f and g of the free Poisson algebra $K\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ satisfies the equation $\{f, g\} = 0$ then f and g are algebraically dependent. There is a conjecture [12] that if $\{f, g\} \neq 0$ then the Poisson subalgebra of $K\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ generated by f and g is a free Poisson algebra in the variables f and g , i.e., the elements f and g are *free*.

3. ELLIPTIC POISSON ALGEBRAS

Let

$$C = C(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{3}(x^3 + y^3 + z^3) - \alpha xyz, \quad \alpha \in K,$$

then the equation $C(x, y, z) = 0$ defines an elliptic curve $\mathfrak{E}_\alpha$ in KP^2 . The elliptic Poisson algebra E_α (see, for example [15]) is the polynomial algebra $K[x, y, z]$ endowed with the Poisson bracket

$$\{x, y\} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial z}, \quad \{y, z\} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial x}, \quad \{z, x\} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial y}.$$

Consequently,

$$(1) \quad \{x, y\} = -\alpha xy + z^2, \quad \{y, z\} = -\alpha yz + x^2, \quad \{z, x\} = -\alpha zx + y^2.$$

This bracket can be written as $\{u, v\} = J(u, v, C(x, y, z))$ where $J(u, v, C(x, y, z))$ is the Jacobian, i. e. the determinant of the corresponding Jacobi matrix.

If $\alpha^3 = 1$ and K contains a root ϵ of the equation $\lambda^2 + \lambda + 1 = 0$ then

$$(2) \quad C = C(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{3}(x + y + \alpha z)(\epsilon x + \epsilon^2 y + \alpha z)(\epsilon^2 x + \epsilon y + \alpha z),$$

It is well known (see, for example [7]) that C is an irreducible polynomial if $\alpha^3 \neq 1$.

The center $Z(A)$ of any Poisson algebra A can be defined as a set of all elements $f \in A$ such that $\{f, g\} = 0$ for every $g \in A$. The elements of $Z(A)$ are called *Casimir* elements. The statement of the following lemma is well known (see, for example [15]).

Lemma 1. $Z(E_\alpha) = K[C]$.

Proof. Since $\{C, h\} = J(C, h, C) = 0$ for any $h \in E_\alpha$ we see that $C \in Z(E_\alpha)$. Assume that $Z(E_\alpha) \ni f$ which is algebraically independent with C . Take an element $g \in E_\alpha$ which is algebraically independent over $K[C, f]$. Then for any element $h \in E_\alpha$ there exists a polynomial dependence $H(C, f, g, h) = 0$. So $0 = \{g, H(C, f, g, h)\} = \{g, h\} \frac{\partial H}{\partial h}$ and $\{g, h\} = 0$ since we can assume that $H(C, f, g, h)$ has minimal possible degree in h . Therefore g is also in the center. Take now an element $p \in E_\alpha$. Then $0 = \{p, H(C, f, g, h)\} = \{p, h\} \frac{\partial H}{\partial h}$. Therefore $\{p, h\} = 0$ and E_α is a commutative Poisson algebra, which is not the case. So if $f \in Z(E_\alpha)$ then f is algebraically dependent with C . Since the brackets are homogeneous we can assume that f is homogeneous. But then $f = kC^m$ where $k \in K$. Indeed, f and C satisfy a polynomial relation $P(C, f) = 0$ which can be assumed homogeneous. So over an algebraic closure of K we will have $f^m = kC^n$ where n and m are relatively prime integers and $k \in K$ since $f, C \in K[x, y, z]$. If $\alpha^3 \neq 1$ then C is irreducible and so $m = 1$; if $\alpha^3 = 1$ then C is either irreducible or a product of three linear factors and again $m = 1$. $\square$

Lemma 2. Suppose $a, b, c \in K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ are homogeneous elements of the same degree. If $C(a, b, c) = 0$ and $\alpha^3 \neq 1$ then a, b , and c are proportional to each other.

Proof. The statement of the lemma is an easy corollary of non-rationality of elliptic curves (see, for example [7]). But we prefer to give here an independent proof for the convenience of the reader. So,

$$(3) \quad a^3 + b^3 + c^3 - 3\alpha abc = 0.$$

If a and b are divisible by an irreducible polynomial p then c is also divisible by p and we can cancel p^3 out of (3). So we can assume that a, b , and c are pair-wise relatively prime. If $\deg(a) \neq 0$ we can also assume that $\frac{\partial a}{\partial x_1} \neq 0$. For any f we put $f' = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}$. Differentiating (3), we get

$$a'a^2 + b'b^2 + c'c^2 - \alpha(a'bc + ab'c + abc') = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} a'(a^3 + b^3 + c^3 - 3\alpha abc) - a[a'a^2 + b'b^2 + c'c^2 - \alpha(a'bc + ab'c + abc')] \\ = (b^2 - \alpha ac)(a'b - ab') + (c^2 - \alpha ab)(a'c - ac') = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4) \quad (b^2 - \alpha ac)(a'b - ab') = (c^2 - \alpha ab)(ac' - a'c).$$

Note that $b^2 - \alpha ac \neq 0$ and $c^2 - \alpha ab \neq 0$, otherwise a , b , and c are not pair-wise relatively prime. If $b^2 - \alpha ac$ and $c^2 - \alpha ab$ are relatively prime then (4) gives that $b^2 - \alpha ac$ divides $ac' - a'c$. But $\deg(ac' - a'c) < \deg(b^2 - \alpha ac)$, hence $ac' - a'c = 0$. Then $(a/c)' = 0$ and $a = cr$ where $r \in K(x_2, \dots, x_n)$. But then a and c again are not relatively prime: any irreducible factor of a which contains x_1 should divide c .

Consequently, $b^2 - \alpha ac$ and $c^2 - \alpha ab$ are not relatively prime. Let p be an irreducible polynomial that divides $b^2 - \alpha ac$ and $c^2 - \alpha ab$. Denote by I the ideal of $K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ generated by p . For $f \in K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ denote by $\bar{f}$ the homomorphic image of f in $K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]/I$. Then, $\bar{b}^2 = \alpha \bar{a} \bar{c}$ and $\bar{c}^2 = \alpha \bar{a} \bar{b}$. Since a , b , and c are pair-wise relatively prime, $\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c} \neq 0$. Note that $K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]/I$ is a domain. So $\bar{a}^2 = \alpha \bar{b} \bar{c}$ and $\bar{b}^2 \bar{c}^2 = \alpha^2 \bar{a}^2 \bar{b} \bar{c} = \alpha^3 \bar{b}^2 \bar{c}^2$. This gives $\alpha^3 = 1$ contrary to our assumptions. $\square$

4. AUTOMORPHISMS

Let us denote by φ_γ , where $\gamma \in K^*$, an automorphism of E_α such that

$$\varphi_\gamma(x) = \gamma x, \quad \varphi_\gamma(y) = \gamma y, \quad \varphi_\gamma(z) = \gamma z.$$

Note that $\langle \varphi_\gamma \rangle \cong K^*$, where K^* is the multiplicative group of the field K . Algebra E_α has also automorphisms

$$\tau : E_\alpha \longrightarrow E_\alpha, \quad x \mapsto y, \quad y \mapsto z, \quad z \mapsto x.$$

and

$$\sigma : E_\alpha \longrightarrow E_\alpha, \quad x \mapsto x, \quad y \mapsto \epsilon y, \quad z \mapsto \epsilon^2 z,$$

if a solution ϵ of the equation $\lambda^2 + \lambda + 1 = 0$ is in K .

Denote by $\text{Aut } E_\alpha$ the group of automorphisms of E_α . Since the center of E_α is $K[C]$ the restriction of ψ to $K[C]$ is an automorphism of $K[C]$ and so $\psi(C) = k_1 C + k_2$ where $k_1, k_2 \in K$ and $k_1 \neq 0$. Let G be the group of those automorphisms of $K[x, y, z]$ which preserve $K[C]$.

Lemma 3. *The group G consists of linear automorphisms.*

Proof. Let $\psi \in G$. Then by the chain rule

$$J(\psi(x), \psi(y), \psi(C)) = J(\psi(x), \psi(y), \psi(z)) \frac{\partial \psi(C)}{\partial \psi(z)} = k_1(\psi(z^2) - \alpha \psi(x) \psi(y)).$$

Also

$$J(\psi(x), \psi(y), \psi(C)) = J(\psi(x), \psi(y), k_2 C + k_3) = k_2 \{\psi(x), \psi(y)\}.$$

So $k_2 \{\psi(x), \psi(y)\} = k_1(\psi(z^2) - \alpha \psi(x) \psi(y))$ where $k_1, k_2 \in K$ are non-zero constants. Similarly, $k_2 \{\psi(y), \psi(z)\} = k_1(\psi(x^2) - \alpha \psi(y) \psi(z))$ and $k_2 \{\psi(z), \psi(x)\} = k_1(\psi(y^2) - \alpha \psi(z) \psi(x))$. Denote $\psi(x) = a$, $\psi(y) = b$, $\psi(z) = c$. So

$$(5) \quad k_4 \{a, b\} = -\alpha ab + c^2, \quad k_4 \{b, c\} = -\alpha bc + a^2, \quad k_4 \{c, a\} = -\alpha ca + b^2.$$

for some non-zero $k_4 \in K$.

Using automorphism τ if necessary, without loss of generality we can assume that $\deg(a) \leq \deg(b) \leq \deg(c)$. Then (5) show that $\deg(a) = \deg(b) = \deg(c)$. Denote by $\bar{f}$ the highest homogeneous part of $f \in K[x, y, z]$.

$$(6) \quad k_4\{\bar{a}, \bar{b}\} = -\alpha\bar{a}\bar{b} + \bar{c}^2, \quad k_4\{\bar{b}, \bar{c}\} = -\alpha\bar{b}\bar{c} + \bar{a}^2, \quad k_4\{\bar{c}, \bar{a}\} = -\alpha\bar{c}\bar{a} + \bar{b}^2,$$

as the terms of the highest possible degree.

If $\deg c > 1$ then $C(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) = 0$ since otherwise $\deg C(a, b, c) > 3$. So $\bar{a}$, $\bar{b}$, and $\bar{c}$ are pair-wise proportional by Lemma 2. Therefore

$$\{\bar{a}, \bar{b}\} = \{\bar{b}, \bar{c}\} = \{\bar{c}, \bar{a}\} = 0.$$

Then (6) gives

$$\alpha\bar{a}\bar{b} = \bar{c}^2, \quad \alpha\bar{b}\bar{c} = \bar{a}^2, \quad \alpha\bar{c}\bar{a} = \bar{b}^2$$

and

$$\bar{b}^2\bar{c}^2 = \alpha^2\bar{a}^2\bar{b}\bar{c} = \alpha^3\bar{b}^2\bar{c}^2.$$

This is impossible if $\alpha^3 \neq 1$. Therefore $\deg(a) = \deg(b) = \deg(c) = 1$.

If $\alpha^3 = 1$ then using the decomposition (2) we have $3 = \deg(\psi(C)) = \deg(a + b + \alpha c) + \deg(\epsilon a + \epsilon^2 b + \alpha c) + \deg(\epsilon^2 a + \epsilon b + \alpha c)$. Therefore $\deg(a + b + \alpha c) = \deg(\epsilon a + \epsilon^2 b + \alpha c) = \deg(\epsilon^2 a + \epsilon b + \alpha c) = 1$ and the elements a , b , and c also have degree 1.

So, we proved that ψ is an affine automorphism. If $\alpha^3 \neq 1$ then (5) gives the linearity of ψ (just look at the lowest homogeneous parts of a , b , and c). If $\alpha^3 = 1$ then non of the $\epsilon^i a + \epsilon^{2i} b + \alpha c$ can contain a constant term since otherwise $\psi(C)$ contains a term of degree less than three. So ψ is a linear automorphism in this case as well. $\square$

Theorem 1. *Let K be an arbitrary field of characteristic 0 such that the equation $\lambda^2 + \lambda + 1 = 0$ has a solution in K . If $\alpha^3 \neq 1$ then the group of automorphisms $\text{Aut } E_\alpha$ of the algebra E_α is generated by φ_γ ($\gamma \in K^*$), σ , and τ .*

Proof. Let ψ be an arbitrary automorphism of E_α . By Lemma 3, ψ is a linear automorphism. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= a = \beta_{11}x + \beta_{12}y + \beta_{13}z, \\ \psi(y) &= b = \beta_{21}x + \beta_{22}y + \beta_{23}z, \\ \psi(z) &= c = \beta_{31}x + \beta_{32}y + \beta_{33}z. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by B the matrix $(\beta_{ij})_{3 \times 3}$. Since

$$(7) \quad \{a, b\} = -\alpha ab + c^2, \quad \{b, c\} = -\alpha bc + a^2, \quad \{c, a\} = -\alpha ca + b^2.$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{a, b\} &= (\beta_{11}\beta_{22} - \beta_{12}\beta_{21})\{x, y\} + (\beta_{12}\beta_{23} - \beta_{13}\beta_{22})\{y, z\} + (\beta_{13}\beta_{21} - \beta_{11}\beta_{23})\{z, x\} \\ &= -\alpha(\beta_{11}\beta_{22} - \beta_{12}\beta_{21})xy + (\beta_{11}\beta_{22} - \beta_{12}\beta_{21})z^2 - \alpha(\beta_{12}\beta_{23} - \beta_{13}\beta_{22})yz \\ &\quad + (\beta_{12}\beta_{23} - \beta_{13}\beta_{22})x^2 - \alpha(\beta_{13}\beta_{21} - \beta_{11}\beta_{23})xz + (\beta_{13}\beta_{21} - \beta_{11}\beta_{23})y^2. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} -\alpha ab + c^2 &= (\beta_{31}^2 - \alpha\beta_{11}\beta_{21})x^2 + (\beta_{32}^2 - \alpha\beta_{12}\beta_{22})y^2 \\ &+ (\beta_{33}^2 - \alpha\beta_{13}\beta_{23})z^2 + [2\beta_{31}\beta_{32} - \alpha(\beta_{11}\beta_{22} + \beta_{12}\beta_{21})]xy \\ &+ [2\beta_{32}\beta_{33} - \alpha(\beta_{12}\beta_{23} + \beta_{13}\beta_{22})]yz + [2\beta_{31}\beta_{33} - \alpha(\beta_{11}\beta_{23} + \beta_{13}\beta_{21})]zx. \end{aligned}$$

Using this and (7) we can write 18 relations between the coefficients of the matrix B :

$$(8) \quad \beta_{ij}^2 = \alpha\beta_{i+1j}\beta_{i+2j} + (\beta_{i+1j+1}\beta_{i+2j+2} - \beta_{i+1j+2}\beta_{i+2j+1})$$

and

$$(9) \quad \beta_{ij}\beta_{ij+1} = \alpha\beta_{i+1j+1}\beta_{i+2j}$$

for all i and j modulo 3. Denote by b^j the product of all elements of the j th column of B . Then (9) gives

$$b^j b^{j+1} = \alpha^3 b^{j+1} b^j$$

So $b^j b^{j+1} = 0$ since $\alpha^3 \neq 1$ and at least two columns contain zero elements. Therefore the matrix B contains zero entries.

If $\alpha = 0$ then $\beta_{ij}\beta_{ij+1} = 0$ and every row contains two zero entries. Of course, a row should contain a non-zero element since the determinant of B is not zero.

Assume now that $\alpha \neq 0$. If in some row we have three non-zero elements we can check using (9) that all entries of B are not equal to zero. Indeed, if $\beta_{ij}\beta_{ij+1} \neq 0$ then $\beta_{i+1j+1}\beta_{i+2j} \neq 0$ and using this inequality for $j = 1, 2, 3$ we fill all the matrix with non-zero entries. So each row has at least one zero element.

Suppose that every row contains just one zero. Up to renumbering we can assume that $\beta_{11}\beta_{12} \neq 0$ and $\beta_{13} = 0$. Then $\beta_{22}\beta_{31} \neq 0$. Now, $\beta_{22}\beta_{23} = \alpha\beta_{33}\beta_{12}$ and $\beta_{21}\beta_{22} = \alpha\beta_{32}\beta_{11}$. If $\beta_{23} = 0$ then $\beta_{33} = 0$ which is impossible since B has a non-zero determinant. Hence $\beta_{23} \neq 0$, $\beta_{33} \neq 0$ and $\beta_{21} = 0$, $\beta_{32} = 0$. Then $\beta_{11}\beta_{12} = \alpha\beta_{22}\beta_{31}$, $\beta_{22}\beta_{23} = \alpha\beta_{33}\beta_{12}$, and $\beta_{33}\beta_{31} = \alpha\beta_{11}\beta_{23}$ in force of (9). If we multiply these equalities we will get $\alpha^3 = 1$. So there exist a row with two zeros.

If there is a row with two non-zero entries we can assume that $\beta_{11}\beta_{12} \neq 0$. Then $\beta_{22}\beta_{31} \neq 0$ and it is easy to check that a non-zero element in any other position will lead to two non-zero entries in every row. But then we have a column of zeros which is impossible. So every row (and every column) contains just one non-zero element.

Let us assume that $\beta_{11} \neq 0$. Then the relations (8) give $\beta_{11}^2 = (\beta_{22}\beta_{33} - \beta_{23}\beta_{32})$ and either $\beta_{22}\beta_{33} = 0$ or $\beta_{23}\beta_{32} = 0$. If $\beta_{11}^2 = -\beta_{23}\beta_{32}$, then $\beta_{23}^2 = -\beta_{32}\beta_{11}$ and $\beta_{32}^2 = -\beta_{11}\beta_{23}$ and we obtain a contradiction by multiplying these equalities. So $\beta_{11}^2 = \beta_{22}\beta_{33}$, $\beta_{22}^2 = \beta_{33}\beta_{11}$, and $\beta_{33}^2 = \beta_{11}\beta_{22}$. We have three solutions to these equations: $\beta_{11} = \beta_{22} = \beta_{33}$, $\beta_{22} = \epsilon\beta_{11}$, $\beta_{33} = \epsilon^2\beta_{11}$, and $\beta_{22} = \epsilon^2\beta_{11}$, $\beta_{33} = \epsilon\beta_{11}$. They correspond to the cases $\psi = \varphi_{\beta_{11}}$, $\psi = \sigma\varphi_{\beta_{11}}$, and $\psi = \sigma^2\varphi_{\beta_{11}}$, respectively. Remaining two solutions with $\beta_{12}\beta_{23}\beta_{31} \neq 0$ and $\beta_{13}\beta_{23}\beta_{31} \neq 0$ correspond to additional actions by τ . So, this gives the statement of the theorem. $\square$

Recall that a derivation D of an algebra R is called *locally nilpotent* if for every $a \in R$ there exists a natural number $m = m(a)$ such that $D^m(a) = 0$. If R is generated by a finite set of elements $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$ then it is well known (see, for example [5]) that a derivation

D is locally nilpotent if and only if there exist positive integers m_i , where $1 \leq i \leq k$, such that $D^{m_i}(a_i) = 0$.

Corollary 1. *Algebra E_α does not have any nonzero locally nilpotent derivations.*

Proof. Let D be a nonzero locally nilpotent derivation of E_α . For every $c \in Z(E_\alpha)$ and $f \in E_\alpha$ we have

$$0 = D\{c, f\} = \{D(c), f\} + \{c, D(f)\} = \{D(c), f\}.$$

So $D(c) \in Z(E_\alpha)$, i.e., $Z(E_\alpha)$ is invariant under the action of D . Therefore D induces a locally nilpotent derivation of $Z(E_\alpha) = K[C]$ and $D(C) = \beta \in K$ (see, for example [6]). Since

$$D(C) = D(x)\frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + D(y)\frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + D(z)\frac{\partial C}{\partial z} = \beta$$

and C is homogeneous of degree three, $\beta = 0$.

So $D(C) = 0$ and CD is also a locally nilpotent derivation. For any locally nilpotent derivation its exponent is an automorphism (see, for example [6]). So $\exp(CD)$ is an automorphism which is certainly not linear. This contradicts Lemma 3. $\square$

Usually it is much easier to describe the locally nilpotent derivations than the automorphisms. But at the moment we do not know any direct proof of Corollary 1.

By Theorem 1, the automorphism group $\text{Aut } E_\alpha$ of the algebra E_α is generated by φ_γ ($\gamma \in K^*$), σ , and τ . Note that $\langle \varphi_\gamma \rangle = \{\varphi_\gamma | \gamma \in K^*\} \cong K^*$, $\langle \tau \rangle \cong Z_3$, and $\langle \sigma \rangle \cong Z_3$, where Z_3 is the cyclic group of order 3. The automorphisms φ_γ , where $\gamma \in K^*$, are related by

$$(10) \quad \varphi_{\gamma_1} \varphi_{\gamma_2} = \varphi_{\gamma_1 \gamma_2}.$$

Moreover, it is easy to check that

$$(11) \quad \sigma \varphi_\gamma = \varphi_\gamma \sigma, \tau \varphi_\gamma = \varphi_\gamma \tau,$$

i.e., φ_γ belongs to the center of $\text{Aut } E_\alpha$.

In addition,

$$(12) \quad \sigma^3 = \text{id}, \tau^3 = \text{id}, \sigma\tau = \varphi_\epsilon \tau\sigma.$$

Theorem 2. *Let K be an arbitrary field of characteristic 0 such that the equation $\lambda^2 + \lambda + 1 = 0$ has a solution in K . Then,*

$$\text{Aut } E_\alpha = (\langle \varphi_\gamma \rangle \times \langle \tau \rangle) \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle = (\langle \varphi_\gamma \rangle \times \langle \sigma \rangle) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle \cong (K^* \times Z_3) \rtimes Z_3.$$

if $\alpha^3 \neq 1$

Proof. Using (10), (11), and (12), any automorphism $\psi \in \text{Aut } E_\alpha$ can be written as

$$\psi = \varphi_\gamma \tau^i \sigma^j$$

where $\gamma \in K^*$ and $0 \leq i, j \leq 2$. It is easy to check that this representation is unique. The relations (10)-(12) show that $\langle \varphi_\gamma \rangle \times \langle \tau \rangle$ and $\langle \varphi_\gamma \rangle \times \langle \sigma \rangle$ are normal subgroups of $\text{Aut } E_\alpha$. $\square$

Corollary 2. *The relations (10)-(12) are defining relations of the group $\text{Aut } E_\alpha$ with respect to the generators φ_γ , σ , and τ if $\alpha^3 \neq 1$.*

Corollary 3. *Let K be an arbitrary field of characteristic 0 such that the equation $\lambda^2 + \lambda + 1 = 0$ has no solution in K . If $\alpha^3 \neq 1$ (i.e. $\alpha \neq 1$) then*

$$\text{Aut } E_\alpha \cong K^* \times Z_3.$$

When $\alpha^3 = 1$ the curve C is not elliptic and degenerates into a product of three linear factors if $\epsilon \in K$ (see (2)). Let us introduce new variables by $u = x + y + \alpha z$, $v = \epsilon x + \epsilon^2 y + \alpha z$, $w = \epsilon^2 x + \epsilon y + \alpha z$. Then E_α is the polynomial algebra $K[u, v, w]$ endowed with the Poisson bracket defined by

$$\{u, v\} = \mu uv, \quad \{v, w\} = \mu vw, \quad \{w, u\} = \mu wu,$$

where $\mu = 3\alpha(\epsilon^2 - \epsilon)$. It is easy to check that the maps ψ_γ ($\gamma \in K^*$) and σ' defined by

$$\psi_\gamma(u) = \gamma u, \quad \psi_\gamma(v) = v, \quad \psi_\gamma(w) = w$$

and

$$\sigma'(u) = v, \quad \sigma'(v) = w, \quad \sigma'(w) = u$$

are automorphisms of E_α . Note that $\sigma = \epsilon^2 \sigma'$. Immediate calculations as in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 give

Theorem 3. *If $\alpha^3 = 1$ and $\epsilon \in K$ is a root of the equation $\lambda^2 + \lambda + 1 = 0$ then ψ_γ ($\gamma \in K^*$) and σ' generate $\text{Aut } E_\alpha$ and*

$$\text{Aut } E_\alpha \cong (K^*)^3 \rtimes Z_3.$$

If $\alpha^3 = 1$ and $\epsilon \notin K$ then $\alpha = 1$. In this case we cannot use variables u, v, w . But we can consider the algebra $E'_\alpha = E_\alpha \otimes_K K'$ where $K' = K + \epsilon K$ is an extension of K . Every automorphism of E_α can be extended to an automorphism of E'_α and Theorem 3 gives the next result.

Corollary 4. *If $\alpha^3 = 1$ and $\epsilon \notin K$ then the group of automorphisms of E_α consist of the automorphisms given by*

$$x \mapsto k_1 x + k_2 y + k_3 z, \quad y \mapsto k_1 y + k_2 z + k_3 x, \quad z \mapsto k_1 z + k_2 x + k_3 y,$$

where $k_1^3 + k_2^3 + k_3^3 - 3k_1 k_2 k_3 \neq 0$.

The crucial difference of the case when $\epsilon \notin K$ is the absence of the automorphism σ , just as in the Corollary 3.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank several institutions which supported them while they were working on this project: Max-Planck Institute für Mathematik (the first and the third authors), Department of Mathematics of Wayne State University in Detroit (the third author), and Instituto de Matemática e Estatística da Universidade de São Paulo (the first author).

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Belov-Kanel, M. Kontsevich, Automorphisms of the Weyl Algebra, *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 74 (2005), 181–199.
- [2] A. J. Czerniakiewicz, Automorphisms of a free associative algebra of rank 2, I, II, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 160 (1971), 393–401; 171 (1972), 309–315.
- [3] J. Donin and L. Makar-Limanov, Quantization of quadratic Poisson brackets on a polynomial algebra of three variables, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 129 (1998), no. 3, 247–261.
- [4] J. Dufour et A. Haraki, Rotationnels et structures de Poisson quadratiques, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, V. 312 I (1991), 137–140.
- [5] A. van den Essen, Polynomial automorphisms and the Jacobian conjecture, *Progress in Mathematics*, 190, Birkhauser verlag, Basel, 2000.
- [6] G. Freudenburg, Algebraic theory of locally nilpotent derivations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [7] D. Husemöller, Elliptic Curves, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.
- [8] H. W. E. Jung, Über ganze birationale Transformationen der Ebene, *J. reine angew. Math.*, 184 (1942), 161–174.
- [9] W. van der Kulk, On polynomial rings in two variables, *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde*, (3)1 (1953), 33–41.
- [10] Z. Liu and P. Xu, On quadratic Poisson structures, *Letters in Math. Phys.*, 26 (1992), 33–42.
- [11] L. Makar-Limanov, The automorphisms of the free algebra with two generators, *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 4(1970), no.3, 107–108; English translation: in *Functional Anal. Appl.* 4 (1970), 262–263.
- [12] L. Makar-Limanov, U. U. Umirbaev, Centralizers in free Poisson algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 135 (2007), no. 7, 1969–1975.
- [13] L. Makar-Limanov, U. Turusbekova, U. Umirbaev, Automorphisms and derivations of free Poisson algebras in two variables, *J. Algebra* (submitted).
- [14] M. Nagata, On the automorphism group of $k[x, y]$, *Lect. in Math.*, Kyoto Univ., Kinokuniya, Tokio, 1972.
- [15] A. V. Odesskii, Elliptic algebras, *Russian Math. Surveys*, 57 (2002), no. 6, 1127–1162.
- [16] I. P. Shestakov and U. U. Umirbaev, Poisson brackets and two generated subalgebras of rings of polynomials, *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 17 (2004), 181–196.
- [17] I. P. Shestakov and U. U. Umirbaev, Tame and wild automorphisms of rings of polynomials in three variables, *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 17 (2004), 197–227.
- [18] U. U. Umirbaev, The Anick automorphism of free associative algebras, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 605 (2007), 165–178.